

SECTION 5 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

This section describes the consultation process followed by the USIBWC for development of the DEIS. Key issues discussed by stakeholders were previously listed in Section 2.1. Also included are the list of preparers, indicating level of experience and contribution to the document preparation, and a DEIS distribution list.

5.1 DEIS PREPARATION OVERVIEW

The USIBWC issued a Notice of Intent for environmental impact statement preparation in August 1999, and conducted two public scoping meetings during October 1999 in Las Cruces, New Mexico, and El Paso, Texas. Preliminary alternatives were then developed and presented for stakeholder review during two technical workshops conducted in September and October 2000. An Alternatives Formulation Report was issued in March 2001 as the basis to determine potential effects associated with river management alternatives for the RGCP (Parsons 2001a).

Following preparation of the Alternatives Formulation Report, the USIBWC conducted additional meetings and focused workshops with representatives of regulatory agencies, irrigation districts, and environmental organizations. These additional meetings were conducted to address comments and concerns expressed to the USIBWC by stakeholders after review of the Alternatives Formulation Report posted on the USIBWC website. Based on input from additional stakeholder contacts, river management alternatives and associated environmental measures were modified to further address stakeholders' concerns and recommendations. These changes were summarized in the Reformulation of Alternatives Report (Parsons 2003a). A copy of this report, which also includes the Alternatives Formulation Report as an appendix, is provided as a reference in Appendix I (CD attached to the back cover of this DEIS).

The consultation process followed by the USIBWC for preparation of the DEIS is described below.

5.1.1 Public Scoping Meetings

Throughout the DEIS development process, the USIBWC has emphasized public involvement. Two public scoping meetings were conducted following publication of the Notice of Intent:

- The first public scoping meeting was held October 5, 1999 from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m., at the Las Cruces Hilton, 705 South Telshor Boulevard, Las Cruces, NM.
- The second meeting was held October 6, 1999 from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m., at the El Paso Airport Hilton, 2027 Airway Boulevard, El Paso, Texas.

Advance notification of the public scoping meetings was provided to two local newspapers (Las Cruces Sun News and El Paso Times) and 112 elected officials,

federal/state/local government agencies, and interested organizations and individuals. Each public scoping meeting consisted of an informational presentation by the USIBWC that explained the environmental process as well as features of the alternatives that might be considered. Each person was given the opportunity to make a statement during the second portion of the meeting. Additionally, each person had the opportunity to submit a written statement concerning the proposal. Likewise, individuals who read the advertisements announcing the meetings and did not attend the meeting were given an address to which they could submit written comments. These comments, along with those written and oral statements submitted during and after the meetings, were included in a summary document prepared in November 1999 (Parsons 1999).

Management issues identified by various organizations and the general public during the two public scoping meetings were previously described (Table 2.1-1). Comments received dealt primarily with land use and biological resources issues, particularly vegetation, and to a lesser extent with water resources, recreation, geology and soils.

5.1.2 Consultation for Formulation of Alternatives

Alternatives Formulation

In March 2001 the USIBWC completed the Alternatives Formulation Report to be used as the basis for evaluation of potential effects in the DEIS (Parsons 2001a). Prior to completion of this report, the agency conducted two technical workshops with representatives of the USBR, USFWS and other regulatory agencies, the SWEC, EBID and EPCWD#1 to review the alternatives formulation process. These workshops were held on September 12 and 13, 2000, at the USIBWC offices in El Paso. An additional public meeting was held in Las Cruces on October 12, 2000 to obtain further input on the alternatives. Table 5.1-1 presents a chronology of the consultation process, stakeholder identification, and main topics discussed.

Table 5.1-1 Stakeholder Consultation for Preparation of the Alternatives Formulation Report

Date	Type	Attendees (Location)	Main Topics
Oct 5, 1999	Public Meeting	Open forum (Las Cruces)	Scoping of actions to be evaluated in Environmental Impact Statement
Oct 6, 1999	Public Meeting	Open forum (El Paso)	Scoping of actions to be evaluated in Environmental Impact Statement
Sep 12, 2000	Presentation	USBR, USACE, NRCS, SWEC, other NGOs (El Paso @USIBWC)	Inter-agency / NGOs review of draft alternatives formulation
Sep 13, 2000	Presentation	USBR, EBID, EPCWD#1 (El Paso @USIBWC)	Irrigation Districts review of draft alternatives formulation
Oct 12, 2000	Public Meeting	Open forum (Las Cruces @ Hilton Hotel)	Discussion of draft alternatives
Jan 23, 2001	Presentation	USIBWC, USBR (El Paso @USIBWC)	Parsons' presentation of draft alternatives to the USIBWC Commissioner & Principal Engineers

5.1.3 Reformulation of Alternatives

Findings of the Alternatives Formulation Report, issued in March 2001 (Parsons 2001a), were subsequently reviewed with representatives of regulatory agencies, irrigation districts and environmental organizations during three presentations and a technical workshop organized by the USIBWC between June 14, 2001 and May 8, 2002. These presentations were attended by representatives of the USBR, USFWS, EBID, EPCWD#1, SWEC, Alliance for the Rio Grande Heritage, and Rio Grande Citizens Forum. Four review meetings with members of the farming community and representatives of various environmental organizations were also held by the USIBWC between October 31, 2001 and December 5, 2002. Written comments were received from representatives of those organizations. Changes to the river management alternatives for the RGCP were summarized in the Reformulation of Alternatives Report (Parsons 2003a). Issues and concerns discussed during presentations and review meetings are summarized in Table 5.1-2.

Table 5.1-2 Issues and Concerns Discussed with Stakeholders During the Alternatives Reformulation Consultation Process

Issues and Concerns
Water Use and Water Acquisition <ul style="list-style-type: none">Water consumption and mechanisms for rights acquisitionTransfer of water gains by salt cedar reductionViability of proposed water conservation strategyLikely water use by riparian vegetation, channel modifications, test plotsConcern of implementation during drought conditions
Changes in River Configuration <ul style="list-style-type: none">Use of pre-canalization conditions as a reference for restoration (1938)Need for additional modifications to channel structurePotential loss in efficiency of water deliveriesGreater emphasis on watershed management (coordination with agencies and landowners for erosion control in uplands)
Changes in Project Functionality <ul style="list-style-type: none">Effect of riparian vegetation growth on flood controlRecommendation to cease annual mowing and discontinue grazing and agricultural leasesHydraulic modeling reliability and need for a two-dimensional modelNeed for additional flood control analysis including non-structural measures
Approach to River Restoration <ul style="list-style-type: none">Description of adopted restoration visionSelection criteria for riparian vegetation and aquatic improvement sitesSustainability defined as the need to minimize management / intervention

Table 5.1-3 presents a chronology of the consultation process, stakeholder identification, and main topics discussed. A listing of correspondence regarding the development of the Reformulation Report is presented in Table 5.1-4.

Following publication of the Reformulation Report, comments on the report were received from the following three organizations:

- World Wildlife Fund. September 12, 2003 correspondence requesting additional information or clarification on 37 issues;
- Elephant Butte Irrigation District. September 13, 2003 correspondence stating their position on two issues. The second issue, “U.S. Section’s Proposals to Establish New Zones of Riparian Vegetation along the Rio Grande in New Mexico” addresses the reformulation of RGCP management alternatives; and
- Alliance for the Rio Grande (undated correspondence) stating eight technical concerns regarding the reformulation of alternatives.

The USIBWC provided detailed responses to each organization on November 14, 2003. Appendix H presents correspondence received, as well as USIBWC responses.

Table 5.1-3 Stakeholder Consultation for Preparation of the Reformulation of Alternatives Report

Date	Type	Attendees (Location)	Main Topics
June 14, 2001	Presentation	USIBWC, USFWS, USBR, SWEC, other NGOs (El Paso @USIBWC)	Discussion of key elements of the Alternatives Formulation Report
Oct 22, 2001	Technical Workshop	USIBWC / SWEC (El Paso @USIBWC)	Presentation of revised river restoration alternative incorporating SWEC’s input
Oct 31, 2001	Meeting	USIBWC / SWEC (Albuquerque @Parsons)	Discussion of pending issues from 10/22/01 workshop
Nov 30, 2001	Meeting	USIBWC / Las Cruces area farmers (Anthony, NM @ Dos Lagos Golf Club)	Discussed project alternatives, and possible implications of proposed measures
April 17, 2002	Presentation	Elephant Butte Irrigation District (Las Cruces @EBID)	Presentation to the Irrigation District regarding the basis for reformulation of alternatives and proposed measures
May 8, 2002	Presentation	El Paso County WID#1 (El Paso @ EPCWID#1)	Presentation of reformulated alternatives incorporating input from EBID’s 4/17/02 presentation
July 22, 2002	Meeting	USIBWC-Alliance (El Paso @USIBWC)	Discussion of Environmental Impact Statement scope and reformulation of the restoration alternative with representatives of the Alliance for the Rio Grande Heritage
Dec 5, 2002	Meeting	USIBWC & R. B. Miller (El Paso @ USIBWC)	Environmental Impact Statement development update, discussion of 2001 alternatives formulation, plans to develop reformulation report, and source water to support environmental enhancements
August 14, 2003	Presentation	New Mexico-Texas Water Commissions	Briefing on the Reformulation of Alternatives Report

Table 5.1-4 List of Correspondence Regarding Preparation of the Reformulation of Alternatives Report

Date	Author	Main Topics
Feb 21, 2001	Kevin Bixby, E. Director, SWEC	SWEC's comments to USIBWC on Draft Alternatives Report
June 13, 2001	Kevin Bixby, Executive Director, SWEC	Letter to USIBWC reviewing the final Alternatives Formulation Report, with attachment from a May 2001 analysis by K. Craig
Aug 29, 2001	Kevin Bixby, Executive Director, SWEC	Letter to USIBWC describing SWEC vision of a restoration alternative, and components of a preferred alternative
Nov 29, 2001	Kevin Bixby, Executive Director, SWEC	Letter reviewing new formulation of the restoration alternative, acknowledging restoration alternative improvements, and indicating concerns on sustainability and role of flood control measures
Jan 11, 2002	Jack F. Darbyshire	Letter to USIBWC on the need for water conservation and additional analysis of water use, and concerns about project implementation
Feb 15, 2002	Carlos M. Ramirez, P.E., Commissioner, USIBWC	USIBWC 2/15/02 response to J. Darbyshire 1/11/02 letter
May 31, 2002	Kevin Bixby, Executive Director, SWEC	Letter to USIBWC partially restating issues from 11/29/01 letter on preliminary Environmental Impact Statement Sections 1& 2
June 6, and June 13, 2002	Rebecca B. Miller	Correspondence to USIBWC stating concerns related to compliance with the Farm Protection Policy Act and conservation easement use
June 28, 2002	Gary Arnold, President, Board of Directors, Elephant Butte Irrigation District	Comments on Parsons 4/17/02 presentation regarding water right issues, need for a prior environmental analysis, mandate to proceed, and technical issues on flood control and water conservation analysis
July 3, 2002	Carlos Victoria-Rueda, Project Manager, Parsons	Parsons' reply to SWEC's concerns regarding river restoration alternative reformulation
July 23, 2002 (e-mail)	Carlos Victoria-Rueda, Project Manager, Parsons	Correspondence to USIBWC providing documentation on the partial river restoration concept, as adopted by the Bosque Hydrology Group
July 23, 2002 (e-mail)	Kevin Bixby, E. Director, SWEC	Reply to 7/23/02 Parsons' e-mail
July 25, 2002 (e-mail)	Steve Harris, Rio Grande Restoration	Comments on Parsons' 7/23/02 e-mail to SWEC, and Rio Grande restoration perspective
July 31, 2002 (e-mail)	Carlos Victoria-Rueda, Project Manager, Parsons	Parsons reply to S. Harris' 7/25/02 e-mail, pointing out the limited role of flood control measures on Rio Grande restoration
Aug 7, 2002	Sylvia Waggoner, Division Engineer, Environmental Management, USIBWC	USIBWC thanks recipients of participating in extended scoping process and announces pending reformulation report to be provided to them prior to release of draft Environmental Impact Statement
Aug 7, 2002	Carlos Victoria-Rueda, Project Manager, Parsons	Letter to USIBWC addressing concerns, clarifying issues from 4/17/02 presentation to EBID, and stating adoption of recommendations
Aug 13, 2002	Lori Robertson, Division Manager, Environment and Lands, USBR	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation's comments to USIBWC on preliminary draft Sections 1&2, confirming 6/28/02 teleconference with A. Coykendall
Sep 4, 2002	Douglas Echlin, Environmental Management Division, USIBWC	USIBWC thanking EBID's Gary Arnold for 6/28/02 comment letter and providing copy of Parsons 8/7/02 letter
Sep 25, 2002	Kevin Bixby, Executive Director, SWEC	The Alliance for the Rio Grande Heritage's comments to USIBWC on some issues discussed in 7/3/02 Parsons letter.
Dec 5, 2002	Sylvia Waggoner, Division Engineer, Environmental Management, USIBWC	USIBWC notification to SWEC of preparation of an Alternatives Reformulation Report incorporating stakeholders' input received since March 2001
Dec 9, 2002	Carlos Marin, Deputy Commissioner, USIBWC	USIBWC follow-up letter to R. Miller, Rio Grande Citizen Forum Board Member, regarding issues discussed during 12/5/02 meeting

5.2 LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Table 5.2-1 and 5.2-2 present a list of persons who prepared various sections of the DEIS or participated to a significant degree in its preparation or that of supporting documents. Documents developed as part of the NEPA process are the biological survey reports (vegetation, habitat and listed species surveys), cultural resources report, and alternatives formulation reports.

Table 5.2-1 List of DEIS Reviewers

Name	Degree	Title	Years of Experience	Contribution
United States Section, International Boundary Water Commission (Lead Federal Agency)				
Douglas Echlin	M.S. Biological Science	Acting Chief, Environmental Management Division	28	DEIS Coordinator, and Document Reviewer
Jim Robinson, P.E.	B.S. Civil Engineering	Engineering Services Division Engineer	26	Engineering, Hydraulics and Hydrology and Document Reviewer
Daniel Borunda	M.S. Wildlife Science	Environmental Protection Specialist	7	Document Reviewer
Hector A. Maynes	B.S., Civil Engineering	Project Manager, URG	39	Document Reviewer
Rong Kuo, P.E.	Ph.D. Civil Engineering	Civil Engineer	20	Engineering, Hydraulics and Hydrology and Document Reviewer
Luis Hernandez	B.S., Civil Engineering	Civil Engineer, O&M Division	14	O&M and Document Reviewer
Susan Daniel	J.D.	Assistant Legal Advisor	11	Legal Sufficiency and Document Reviewer
Mario Lewis	J.D.	General Counsel	30	Legal Sufficiency and Document Reviewer
Antonio Solo, P.E.	B.S.	Civil Engineer	28	O&M and Document Reviewer
U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (Cooperating Federal Agency)				
Art Coykendall	M.S. Wildlife Management	Environmental Protection Specialist	12	Water Use Review, NEPA Compliance
Robert Maxwell	B.S. Botany and Range Management	Environmental Protection Specialist	25	NEPA Compliance
Other Technical Reviewers				
Dr. Cliff Crawford	Ph.D., Biology	Professor (ret.)	40	Restoration Review and Consultation

Table 5.2-2 List of Preparers

Name	Degree	Title	Years of Experience	Contribution
PARSONS (NEPA Consultant)				
R. C. Wooten	Ph.D., Biology/Ecology	Vice-President and Technical Manager	34	Technical Direction and Quality Assurance
Carlos Victoria-Rueda	Ph.D., Environmental Engineering	Principal Engineer	19	Project Management and Technical Review
Ron Beisel	M.A. Geography	Principal Scientist	30	Socioeconomic Analysis
Rick Billings	M.S. Fisheries Science	Senior Biologist	22	Aquatic Ecology
Brian Crane	Ph.D. Anthropology	Principal Scientist	16	Archaeology and Cultural Resources
Rosemarie Crisologo	M.S., Environmental Engineering	Senior NEPA Specialist	23	Scoping and Public Participation
Anthony Davis, P.E.	B.S. Civil Engineering	Principal Engineer	26	NEPA Compliance
April Fitzner	M.S. Water Resources	Principal Scientist	12	Technical Support, Water Resources
Dave Guggemos	M.S. Civil Engineering	Principal Engineer	23	Formulation of Alternatives
James Hinson	M.S. Wildlife Science	Principal Biologist	16	Biological Analyses, Remote Sensing, GIS
Taylor Houston	B.S. Geography	Project Scientist	3	GIS Analysis
Sherrie Keenan	B.A., Journalism	Technical Editor	27	Technical Editing
Stephen Manning, P.E.	MBA, B.S. Civil Engineering	Senior Engineer	20	Quality Control
Namir Najjar	Ph.D. Water Resources	Project Engineer	7	Hydraulic Modeling
Garner Peterson	B.S., Geology	Project Scientist	4	GIS Analysis
Steve Schrader	M.S. Civil Engineering	Project Engineer	16	Water Resources Analysis
John Sigler	Ph.D., Fisheries	Aquatic Biologist	31	Fisheries Biology
John Wallin	M.S. Management	Principal Scientist	11	Air Quality Analysis
Chris Westerman	M.S. Botany	Senior Scientist	9	Biological Surveys
Lopez-Garcia Group (NEPA Subconsultant)				
Mike Sipos	M.S. Biology	Senior Biologist	6	Land Use Analysis, Field Supervision
Ecosystem Management, Inc. (NEPA Subconsultant)				
Kenneth L. Brown	Ph.D. Anthropology	Senior Archaeologist	25	Cultural Resources, Archaeological Surveys Supervision

5.3 DISTRIBUTION LIST

This DEIS is being sent to the following federal agencies, state and local governments, libraries, and interested organizations, as listed in Table 5.1-5. Additional copies are being sent to private individuals that provided comments during the development and preparation of the DEIS and support documents.

Table 5.3-1 Distribution List by Organization

Affiliation	Position	City	St
FEDERAL AGENCIES			
Environmental Protection Agency	Office of Federal Activities, EIS Filing Section	Washington	DC
Environmental Protection Agency, Region VI	– Regional Administrator – Regional Environmental Impact Statement Coordinator	Dallas	TX
USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service	District Conservationist	Las Cruces	NM
USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service	Resource Team Leader	El Paso	TX
USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service	– State Biologist – State Conservationist	Albuquerque	NM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Albuquerque District	– District Engineer – Chief, Planning and Reports Section	Albuquerque	NM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Albuquerque District	El Paso Regulatory Office Chief	Fort Bliss	TX
U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Rio Grande Project	– Project Supervisor – Assistant Area Manager – Hydraulic Engineer	El Paso	NM
U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Albuquerque Area Office	Area Manager	Albuquerque	NM
Bureau of Land Management, Las Cruces Field Office	Field Manager Field Office Environment Coordinator	Las Cruces	NM
National Park Service, Southwest Region (Texas, New Mexico)	Regional Director	Santa Fe	NM
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Austin Ecological Services Office	– Supervisor – Fish and Wildlife Biologist	Austin	TX
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, New Mexico Ecological Services State Office	Supervisory Fish and Wildlife Biologist	Albuquerque	NM
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 2	Regional Director	Albuquerque	NM
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	Western Office of Project Review Director	Lakewood	CO
Texas Rio Grande Water Commission	Texas Commissioner	El Paso	TX
Interstate Stream Commission	Commissioner	Las Cruces	NM

Affiliation	Position	City	St
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES - NEW MEXICO			
New Mexico Department of Game and Fish	– Director – Chief, Conservation Services Division	Santa Fe	NM
New Mexico Environmental Department	Chief, Surface Water Quality Bureau	Santa Fe	NM
New Mexico Office of Cultural Affairs, Historic Preservation Division	State Historic Preservation Officer	Santa Fe	NM
City of Las Cruces	– City Administrator – Planning Department	Las Cruces	NM
Las Cruces Chamber of Commerce		Las Cruces	NM
City of Truth or Consequences	Assistant City Manager	TOC	NM
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES - TEXAS			
Governor's Budget and Planning Office	State Single Point of Contact	Austin	TX
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department	– Executive Director – Assistant Director, Resource Protection Division	Austin	TX
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality	Regional Manager	El Paso	TX
Texas Department of Highways and Public Transportation	District Engineer	El Paso	TX
Texas Department of Transportation	El Paso District Design Engineer	El Paso	TX
Texas Water Development Board	– Director – Executive Administrator, Office of Planning	Austin	TX
Texas Historical Commission	Executive Director and State Historic Preservation Officer	Austin	TX
Department of Planning Research and Development	Urban Planner	El Paso	TX
Rio Grande Council of Governments and El Paso County Dispute Resolution Center	Executive Director	El Paso	TX
City of El Paso	– City Administrator – Planning Department	El Paso	TX
El Paso Chamber of Commerce		El Paso	TX
UTILITIES AND WATER DISTRICTS			
El Paso Water Utilities/Public Service Board	– Planning and Development Manager – Environmental Planner	El Paso	TX
Anthony Water & Sanitation District	Superintendent	Anthony	NM
Elephant Butte Irrigation District	– Treasurer-Manager – District Engineer	Las Cruces	NM
El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1	General Manager	El Paso	TX
ELECTED OFFICIALS			
Village of Hatch	Mayor	Hatch	NM
City of Mesilla	Mayor	Mesilla	NM
City of Anthony	Mayor	Anthony	TX
Village of Vinton	Mayor	Anthony	TX
City of El Paso	Mayor	El Paso	TX
City of Sunland Park	Mayor	Sunland Park	NM
City of Las Cruces	Mayor	Las Cruces	NM
Doña Ana County	Chairman	Las Cruces	NM
El Paso County Courthouse	El Paso County Judge	El Paso	TX
Sierra County	Commission Chairman	Truth or Conseq.	NM

Affiliation	Position	City	St
District 33	New Mexico State Representative	Mesilla	NM
Districts 34, 35, 36 and 37	New Mexico State Representative	Las Cruces	NM
75 th and 79 th District	Texas State Representative	El Paso	TX
76 th and 77 th District	Texas State Representative	Austin	TX
District 36 (Doña Ana)	New Mexico State Senator	Doña Ana	NM
District 38 (Doña Ana)	New Mexico State Senator	Mesilla	NM
District 31 (Doña Ana)	New Mexico State Senator	Las Cruces	NM
District 37 (Doña Ana, Otero & Sierra)	New Mexico State Senator	Las Cruces	NM
28 th District	Texas State Senator	Austin	TX
29 th District	Texas State Senator	El Paso	TX
State of New Mexico	U.S. Senators and U.S. Representatives	Washington	D.C.
State of Texas	U.S. Senators and U.S. Representatives	Washington	D.C.
LIBRARIES			
New Mexico State University Library	Reference Desk	Las Cruces	NM
Thomas Branigan Memorial Library	Reference Section	Las Cruces	NM
El Paso Public Library	Reference Desk	El Paso	TX
University of Texas at El Paso Library	Reference Section	El Paso	TX
ORGANIZATIONS			
New Mexico State University	– New Mexico Water Resources Research Institute – Dept. of Range Science	Las Cruces	NM
University of Texas at El Paso	– Center for Environmental Research and Management – Dept. Biological Sciences – Engineering Department	El Paso	TX
Southwest Environmental Center	Director	Las Cruces	NM
Paso del Norte Watershed Council	Coordinator	El Paso	TX
El Paso Trans-Pecos Audubon Society		El Paso	TX
Doña Ana Mutual Domestic Water Consumers Association		Doña Ana	NM
El Paso Times	Editor	El Paso	TX
Chihuahuan Desert Wildlife Restoration		El Paso	TX
Rio Grande Restoration	Executive Secretary	El Prado	NM
ASCE Environmental and Water Res. Institute	Director	Mesilla Park	NM
RGCC-TX	Engr. Advisor	El Paso	TX
Pueblo of Isleta	Governor	Isleta	NM
Mescalero Apache Tribe	Tribal Historic Preservation Office	Mescalero	NM
Pueblo of Zuni	Governor	Zuni	NM
Ysleta del Sur Pueblo	Governor	El Paso	TX
El Paso Zoo	Animal Curator	El Paso	TX
EPISO	Leader Organizer	El Paso	TX
Las Cruces Sun News		Las Cruces	NM
Southwest Consolidated Sportsman		Las Cruces	NM
SWEC/Mesilla Valley Audubon Society		Mesilla	NM
SNMEC		Las Cruces	NM
Sierra Club	Chair, E.P. Group	El Paso	TX
Sierra Club, Southern NM Group	Chair	Las Cruces	NM
Rio Grande/Rio Bravo Basin Coalition		El Paso	TX
National Audubon Society	Texas Audubon Society	San Antonio	TX
Texas Center for Policy Studies		Austin	TX
World Wildlife Fund	Program Officer	Las Cruces	NM
Alliance for the Rio Grande Heritage		El Paso	TX